IFW

				•			
PE.	<i>?</i> ?						
\o.	ું 	n W	MO3	3B179			
APR 0 7 201	ØÞ	ractiti	oner's Docket No	PATENT			
E.				PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE			
منفنت ويها	ENIE	ro onn	lication of: MENNIE et al.				
	A	pplication	on No.: 10/ 669,775	Group No.: 3746			
	Fi	led: Se	ptember 24, 2003	Examiner:			
	F	or: Va	cuum Pumping System				
	C	ommiss	sioner for Patents				
	P.	.O. Box	1450, Alexandria, VA 2231	3-1450			
	STATUS INQUIRY						
	WARINING: Submission of a status letter after a Notice of Allowance may subect an application to a reduction in patent term adjustment under 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(10). See Notice of may 29, 2001, 1247 OG 111–112, June 26, 2001.						
	1.	More	than 18 months have	passed since			
		\boxtimes	NEW APPLICATIONS				
			the filing of this application	on <u>September 24, 2003</u> .			
				en received from the Patent and Trademark Office			
			AMENDED APPLICATIONS	,			
			the filing of a response on	•			
.*			No further communication Office.	has been received from the Patent and Trademark			
4			APPEALED APPLICATION	•			
		_	The Appeal Brief was	filed on			
	CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10* (When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)						
1	ł h	ereby cert	tify that, on the date shown below,	this correspondence is being:			
	_	MAILING					
	X	deposite Box 145	u, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	rice in an envelope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O.			
	図	with suff	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a) icient postage as first class mail.	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 ° ☐ as "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee"			
			parage are mos visco migni	Mailing Label No (mandatory)			
				TRANSMISSION			
		facsimile	transmitted to the Patent and Trac	demark Office, (703)			

"Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

Date: april 5, 2005

Betty Lee

(type or print name of person certifying)

(check and complete applicable items below)

☐ An Examiner's Answer was mailed on _____.

☐ A Reply to the Examiner's Answer was submitted on _____.

☐ ALLOWED APPLICATIONS

2. Kindly advise the undersigned of the present status of this application, by checking the appropriate box below. A stamped return-addressed envelope is provided.

the mailing of FORM POL-327 and/or Examiner's Amendment on

NOTE: M.P.E.P. § 203.08 Status Inquiries, 8th Edition, cautions as to the submission of status inquiries as follows:

"NEW APPLICATION

"Current examining procedures now provide for the routine mailing from the Technology Centers (TCs) of Form PTOL-37 in every case of allowance of an application. Thus, the mailing of a form PTOL-37 in addition to a formal Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) in all allowed applications would seem to obviate the need for status inquiries even as a precautionary measure where the applicant may believe his or her new application may have been passed to issue on the first examination. However, as an exception, a status inquiry would be appropriate where a Notice of Allowance is not received within three months from receipt of form PTOL-37.

"Current examining procedures also aim to minimize the spread in dates among the various examiner dockets of each art unit and TC with respect to actions on new applications. Accordingly, the dates of the "oldest new applications" appearing in the Official Gazette are fairly reliable guides as to the expected time frames of when the examiners reach the applications or action.

"Therefore, it should be rarely necessary to query the status of a new application.

"AMENDED APPLICATIONS

"Amended applications are expected to be taken up by the examiner and an action completed within two months of the date the examiner receives the application. Accordingly, a status inquiry is not in order after reply by the attorney until 5 or 6 months have elapsed with no response from the Office. A postcard receipt for replies to Office actions, adequately and specifically identifying the papers filed, will be considered prima facie proof of receipt of such papers. Where such proof indicates the timely filing of a reply, the submission of a copy of the postcard with a copy of the reply will ordinarily obviate the need for a petition to revive. Proof of receipt of a timely reply to a final action will obviate the need for a petition to revive only if the reply was in compliance with 37 CFR 1.113."

Date:

Reg. No.: 31,147

Ira Lee Zebrak

(type or print name of practitioner)
The BOC Group, Inc.

SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIONER

Tel. No.: (908) 771-6469 Legal Services

Legal Services-Intellectual Property

P.O. Address

575 Mountain Ave.

Murray Hill, NJ 07974

Customer No.: 020411

STATUS INQUIRY REPLY

P	APPLICA	TION SERIAL NO. 0 / IS CURRENTLY
() E		ASSIGNED TO GROUP AND AWAITS:
TPE JC	Sr.	☐ ACTION BY THE EXAMINER.
din .	ng.	☐ APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED
APR O 7 7005	E	
ARTHUR TO THE WEST		
WHAT THE WEST	rt,	
Δ	PPEAL	NO
•		
		IS AWAITING ACTION BY THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFER-
	•	ENCES ,
		☐ DATE OF HEARING EXPECTED
		□ DECISION EXPECTED